

1 DEAN S. KRISTY (CSB No. 157646)
dkristy@fenwick.com
2 JENNIFER BRETAN (CSB No. 233475)
jbretan@fenwick.com
3 MARA LUDMER (CSB No. 307662)
mludmer@fenwick.com
4 FENWICK & WEST LLP
5 555 California Street, 12th Floor
6 San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: 415.875.2300
Facsimile: 415.281.1350

7 Attorneys for Defendants
8 Tesla, Inc. and Elon Musk

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12
13 KALMAN ISAACS, individually and on behalf
of all others similar situated,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 ELON MUSK and TESLA, INC.,

17 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:18-cv-04865-EMC

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR
DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO
COMPLAINTS AND CONTINUING
CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
AND ASSOCIATED DEADLINES**

(Civil L.R. 6-1(a) and 16-2)

Judge: The Honorable Edward M. Chen

Date Action Filed: August 10, 2018

20
21 WILLIAM CHAMBERLAIN, on behalf of
22 himself and all other similarly situated

23 Plaintiff,

24 v.

25 TESLA, INC. and ELON MUSK,

26 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:18-cv-04876-EMC

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

JOHN YEAGER, Individually and on Behalf of
All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

TESLA, INC. and ELON MUSK,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:18-cv-04912-EMC

CARLOS MAIA, on behalf of himself and all
other similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

TESLA, INC. and ELON MUSK,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:18-cv-04939-EMC

KEWAL DUA, Individually and on Behalf of All
Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

TESLA, INC. and ELON MUSK,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:18-cv-04948-EMC

JOSHUA HORWITZ, Individually and on Behalf
of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

TESLA, INC. and ELON R. MUSK,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:18-cv-05258-EMC

1 ANDREW E. LEFT, Individually and on Behalf
2 of All Other Similarly Situated,

3 Plaintiff,

4 v.

5 TESLA, INC., and ELON R. MUSK,

6 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:18-cv-05463-EMC

7 ZHI XING FAN, Individually and On Behalf of
8 All Others Similarly Situated,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 TESLA, INC., and ELON R. MUSK,

12 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:18-cv-05470-EMC

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

1 WHEREAS, on September 12, 2018, the Court issued an Order (Dkt. No. 23) deeming
 2 this case and seven additional proposed class actions, each alleging violations of the federal
 3 securities laws against defendants Tesla, Inc. and Elon Musk (collectively, “Defendants”),
 4 “related cases” within the meaning of Northern District of California Civil Local Rule (“Civil
 5 L.R.”) 3-12(a) (together, the “Related Securities Actions”);

6 WHEREAS, on August 14, 2018, the Court issued an Initial Case Management
 7 Scheduling Order (Dkt. No. 4) setting the following deadlines in this case, and subsequently
 8 issued orders setting identical schedules in each of the Related Securities Actions:

- 9 1. October 18, 2018 for the parties to comply with certain requirements under the
 10 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR”)
 11 Local Rules regarding initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection,
 12 and discovery planning;
- 13 2. November 1, 2018 for the parties to complete initial disclosures or state objection
 14 in Rule 26(f) Report, file Joint Case Management Statement, and file/serve Rule
 15 26(f) Report; and
- 16 3. November 8, 2018 at 9:30 a.m. for an Initial Case Management (subsequently
 17 advanced to November 5, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. due to the Court’s unavailability);

18 WHEREAS, the Related Securities Actions are subject to the requirements of the Private
 19 Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104-67, 109 Stat. 737 (1995) (the “Reform
 20 Act”), which sets forth specialized procedures for the administration of securities class actions;

21 WHEREAS, the Reform Act provides for the appointment of a lead plaintiff to act on
 22 behalf of the purported class, and further provides that the appointment of lead plaintiff shall not
 23 be made until after a decision on a motion to consolidate is rendered (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4
 24 (a)(3)(B)(ii));

25 WHEREAS, notice of this action was provided by plaintiff in the first-filed case on August
 26 10, 2018, and the parties in the Related Securities Actions expect that a motion to consolidate and
 27 for appointment of a lead plaintiff and lead counsel will be filed (the “Lead Plaintiff Motion”) on
 28 or about October 9, 2018;

WHEREAS, the approval of lead counsel will follow the Court’s decision on the Lead
 Plaintiff Motion;

1 WHEREAS, thereafter, the parties expect the Court to set a schedule for the filing of an
2 amended or consolidated complaint by Lead Plaintiff;

3 WHEREAS, Defendants anticipate filing motion(s) to dismiss in response to Lead
4 Plaintiff's amended or consolidated complaint and that the parties will submit a briefing schedule
5 to the Court in connection with any such motion(s);

6 WHEREAS, because the special procedures specified in the Reform Act contemplate (i)
7 the consolidation of similar actions, (ii) appointment of Lead Plaintiff, and (iii) the filing of a
8 complaint by Lead Plaintiff, requiring Defendants to respond at this time to the existing
9 complaints in the Related Securities Actions would be contrary to the Reform Act and result in
10 the needless expenditure of private and judicial resources;

11 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Reform Act, all discovery and other proceedings shall be
12 stayed during the pendency of any motion to dismiss, unless the court finds upon the motion of
13 any party that particularized discovery is necessary to preserve evidence or to prevent undue
14 prejudice to that party (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(b)(3)(B));

15 WHEREAS, counsel for the parties in the Related Securities Actions respectfully submit
16 that because the pleadings are not yet set, and because discovery is stayed pending any motion(s)
17 to dismiss, good cause exists to vacate the existing November 5, 2018 Initial Case Management
18 Conference and associated deadlines until such time as the Court has the opportunity to rule on
19 the appointment of Lead Plaintiff and its counsel and any motion(s) to dismiss;

20 WHEREAS, this stipulation is entered without prejudice to any position the parties in the
21 Related Securities Actions may take with respect to the Lead Plaintiff process under the Reform
22 Act, including whether appointment of one or more lead plaintiffs is appropriate, or in connection
23 with consolidation of the Related Securities Actions;

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

IT IS ACCORDINGLY STIPULATED, pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-12, by and between the undersigned counsel for the parties in each of the Related Securities Actions, that:

- i. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(a), the time for Defendants to answer, move or otherwise respond to the complaints in the Related Securities Actions is extended until after the appointment of a Lead Plaintiff and lead counsel, at which time the parties shall meet and confer and submit to the Court a mutually agreeable schedule for the filing of an amended or consolidated complaint and Defendants' responses thereto;
- ii. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-2, the Initial Case Management Conference scheduled for November 5, 2018 in each of the Related Cases be vacated, along with any associated deadlines under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Civil Local Rules or by Court Order, to be reset for a date that is 30 days after the Court rules on Defendants' anticipated motion(s) to dismiss Lead Plaintiff's complaint, or such other date as the Court shall determine to be appropriate; and
- iii. All associated ADR Program deadlines likewise be deferred.

Dated: September 26, 2018

FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Jennifer C. Bretan
Jennifer C. Bretan

555 California Street, 12th Floor
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 875-2300
Facsimile: (415) 281-1350

Attorneys for Defendants Tesla, Inc. and Elon Musk

Dated: September 26, 2018

KELLER LENKNER LLC

By: /s/ U. Seth Ottensoser
U. Seth Ottensoser

1330 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 0653-9715

Attorneys for Plaintiff Kalman Isaacs

Dated: September 26, 2018

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

By: /s/ Reed Kathrein
Reed Kathrein

715 Hearst Ave., Suite 202
Berkeley, California 94710
Telephone: (510) 725-3000
Facsimile: (510) 725-3001

Attorneys for Plaintiff William Chamberlain

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

Dated: September 26, 2018

SCOTT + SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP

By: /s/ John T. Jasnoch
John T. Jasnoch

600 W. Broadway, Suite 3300
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 233-4565
Facsimile: (619) 233-0508

Attorneys for Plaintiffs John Yeager and Kewal Dua

Dated: September 26, 2018

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

By: /s/ Laurence D. King
Laurence D. King

350 Sansome Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 772-4700
Facsimile: (415) 772-4709

Attorneys for Plaintiff Carlos Maia

Dated: September 26, 2018

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP

By: /s/ Shawn A. Williams
Shawn A. Williams

Post Montgomery Center
One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 288-4545
Facsimile: (415) 288-4534

Attorneys for Plaintiff Joshua Horwitz

Dated: September 26, 2018

LABATON SUCHAROW LLP

By: /s/ Christopher J. Keller
Christopher J. Keller

140 Broadway
New York, New York 10005
Telephone: (212) 907-0700
Facsimile: (212) 818-0477

Attorneys for Plaintiff Andrew E. Left

1 Dated: September 26, 2018

POMERANTZ LLP

2 By: /s/ Jennifer Pafiti
Jennifer Pafiti

3 468 North Camden Drive
4 Beverly Hills, CA 90210
5 Telephone: (818) 532-6499

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff Zhi Xing Fan

7 Pursuant to Local Rule No. 5-1(i)(3), all signatories concur in filing this stipulation.

8 Dated: September 26, 2018

By: /s/ Jennifer C. Bretan
Jennifer C. Bretan

9 ***

10 ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

11 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: September 28, 2018

13 
14 Hon. Edward M. Chen
United States District Court Judge

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO